

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Stuart Basden <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Monday, 26 March 2018 10:57 PM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: 'New breeding techniques' Consultation

Evolution has been a slow process over millions of years. It's done so through billions of iterations, very slowly, and bit-by-bit. This has created a resilient ecosphere.

Editing the DNA through extreme measures tampers with that resilience. It could result in a catastrophic collapse of life on this planet, through unknown means.

CRISPR is new and untested. It's NOT known to be safe, and could potentially cause MASSIVE suffering.

We are not ready for such technology. If you feel it's necessary to keep this dangerous research going, then please ensure it is THOROUGHLY tested through multiple internationally-trusted and independent agencies. Don't allow the corporate-monopolising lobby of the dangerous extremists behind the genocidal food-control industry push things through. These things could result in so much destruction, you cannot even begin to imagine the consequences. So don't allow it. We don't NEED this technology.

Maybe humans in the far future will be mature enough to play with such fundamental building blocks of life. We are not. Please do not allow it. I'd rather see this dangerous technology halted and banned. However, it's certainly too dangerous to let out into our environments so rapidly and without thorough and reliable and trusted testing.

Genetic modification techniques pose many unique risks and all new genetic modification techniques should be thoroughly assessed for safety before being allowed in our food. They should be labelled so we are fully informed and so that consumers can make choices. This includes gene editing, GM rootstock grafting, cisgenesis, intragenesis RNA interference and null segregants.

Do not sacrifice your children's future. The stakes are far too high.

Yours sincerely, Stuart Basden

This email was sent by Stuart Basden via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Stuart provided an email address (stu@t.apio.ca) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Stuart Basden at stu@t.apio.ca.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Gé van Gasteren <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Monday, 26 March 2018 10:58 PM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

Dear Secretary,

Past events should have rendered Australia and New Zealand, and the FSANZ in particular, well aware of nature's sensitivity and often unpredictable reaction to human intervention. Therefore, I am surprised that the FSANZ advocates accepting the use of GMO products at all, let alone accepting their distribution without clear indications.

As a European citizen, I may be far away from you, but in our globalized economy, the concerned products are sure to be distributed here as well. Therefore, and of course to keep your own countries' policies transparent, I herewith urge you to go by the ENSSER statement of 27 September 2017, that techniques such as CRISPR and RNA in animals, crops and microbes are to be categorized as GMO techniques.

Yours sincerely, Gé van Gasteren

_____ This email was sent by Gé van Gasteren via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Gé provided an email address (gevangasteren@gmail.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Gé van Gasteren at gevangasteren@gmail.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Charles Drace <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Monday, 26 March 2018 10:58 PM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

As the editor of two reference books on Genetic Engineering I can tell you categorically that the new techniques have not been tested for long term effects and are potentially so dangerous out of safe hands or outside of laboratories.

Your most important and top-priority is protecting us from harmful foods, medicines AND technologies. Every decision should be evaluated against the Precautionary Principle. These GE techniques totally fail the Precautionary Principle because no one knows how brutal the consequences could be as they spread widely.

Its a enormous gamble and the health of all New Zealanders and Australians are the chips.

Genetic modification techniques pose unique risks and all new genetic modification techniques should be assessed for safety before being allowed in our food. They should also be labelled so we are fully informed. This includes gene editing, GM rootstock grafting, cisgenesis, intragenesis RNA interference and null segregants.

CRISPR was only invented 5 years ago . Reviews commissioned by the Austrian and Norwegian governments concluded that not enough is known about the risks (e.g. off target effects) posed by new GM techniques such as CRISPR. They recommended that products derived from these techniques require comprehensive case-by-case risk assessments. Gene editing techniques cause DNA double strand breaks and can be used sequentially to make dramatic differences to DNA. They are also prone to additional unexpected mutations. The risks associated with these techniques warrant pre-market safety assessment and approval. RNA interference is a GM technique and can result in heritable genetic changes so must also be assessed for safety before being used in our food. GM plants, animals and microbes all pose unique risks so assessing the GM production process is appropriate.

Yours sincerely, Charles Drace

This email was sent by Charles Drace via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Charles provided an email address (charles@cdrace.co.nz) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Charles Drace at charles@cdrace.co.nz.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Julie Melia <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Monday, 26 March 2018 11:10 PM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

Please stop this “food science”. Leave things as nature intended.

Yours sincerely, Julie Melia

_____ This email was sent by Julie Melia via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Julie provided an email address (jlm5cpm@msn.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Julie Melia at jlm5cpm@msn.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Norman Daoust <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Monday, 26 March 2018 11:10 PM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

Reviews by commissions in other countries have indicated that insufficient information is available to deem new Genetic Modification techniques safe.

Individual products resulting from these techniques should be comprehensively evaluated to assess risks.

_____ This email was sent by Norman Daoust via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Norman provided an email address (normandaoust1@yahoo.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Norman Daoust at normandaoust1@yahoo.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Jan Komar <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Monday, 26 March 2018 11:44 PM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

Dear Sirs,

I am very worried about your methods to modify the basis of our food for the following reasons.

Genetic modification techniques pose unique risks and all new genetic modification techniques should be assessed for safety before being allowed in our food. They should also be labelled so we are fully informed. This includes gene editing, GM rootstock grafting, cisgenesis, intragenesis RNA interference and null segregants.

CRISPR was only invented 5 years ago . Reviews commissioned by the Austrian and Norwegian governments concluded that not enough is known about the risks (e.g. off target effects) posed by new GM techniques such as CRISPR. They recommended that products derived from these techniques require comprehensive case-by-case risk assessments.

RNA interference is a GM technique and can result in heritable genetic changes so must also be assessed for safety before being used in our food.

Yours sincerely, Jan Komar

_____ This email was sent by Jan Komar via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Jan provided an email address (jankomar1008@yahoo.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Jan Komar at jankomar1008@yahoo.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Han van der Heide <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Monday, 26 March 2018 11:55 PM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

Dear readers,

Please, all GM food must be assessed for safety of me and my (grand)children.

GM plants, animals and microbes all pose unique risks so assessing the GM production process is appropriate.

Yours sincerely, Han van der Heide

_____ This email was sent by Han van der Heide via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Han van der provided an email address (jam.vanderheide@quicknet.nl) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Han van der Heide at jam.vanderheide@quicknet.nl.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Yacine W <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Monday, 26 March 2018 11:57 PM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

Please, I implore you to reject deregulation of GMOs.

Genetic modification techniques pose unique risks and all new genetic modification techniques should be assessed for safety before being allowed in our food. They should also be labelled so we are fully informed. This includes gene editing, GM rootstock grafting, cisgenesis, intragenesis RNA interference and null segregants.

Yours sincerely, Yacine W

_____ This email was sent by Yacine W via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Yacine provided an email address (willyacine@me.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Yacine W at willyacine@me.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Glenn Seymon <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Tuesday, 27 March 2018 12:02 AM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

Any food that is tampered with should be clearly labelled as such. We at least deserve that. I do not wish to risk the health of my body for some scientific experiment which potentially isn't even safety tested for human consumption.

Too many people have food intolerances and allergies – I have coeliac disease.

Genetic modification techniques pose unique risks and all new genetic modification techniques should be assessed for safety before being allowed in our food. They should also be labelled so we are fully informed. This includes gene editing, GM rootstock grafting, cisgenesis, intragenesis RNA interference and null segregants.

Yours sincerely, Glenn Seymon Pascoe Vale, Victoria, 3044, Australia

_____ This email was sent by Glenn Seymon via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Glenn provided an email address (glenn@superdudes.com.au) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Glenn Seymon at glenn@superdudes.com.au.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Rebecca Marsh <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Tuesday, 27 March 2018 12:21 AM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

Just No!! Stop messing around with our food. We have a right to know how our food is made and whether it's GMO.

Genetic modification techniques pose unique risks and all new genetic modification techniques should be assessed for safety before being allowed in our food. They should also be labelled so we are fully informed. This includes gene editing, GM rootstock grafting, cisgenesis, intragenesis RNA interference and null segregants. CRISPR was only invented 5 years ago . Reviews commissioned by the Austrian and Norwegian governments concluded that not enough is known about the risks (e.g. off target effects) posed by new GM techniques such as CRISPR. They recommended that products derived from these techniques require comprehensive case-by-case risk assessments. Gene editing techniques cause DNA double strand breaks and can be used sequentially to make dramatic differences to DNA. They are also prone to additional unexpected mutations. The risks associated with these techniques warrant pre-market safety assessment and approval. RNA interference is a GM technique and can result in heritable genetic changes so must also be assessed for safety before being used in our food. GM plants, animals and microbes all pose unique risks so assessing the GM production process is appropriate.

Yours sincerely, Rebecca Marsh Melbourne, Victoria, 3000, Australia

_____ This email was sent by Rebecca Marsh via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Rebecca provided an email address (becs.marsh@gmail.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Rebecca Marsh at becs.marsh@gmail.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: geoff faulkner <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Tuesday, 27 March 2018 12:26 AM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

Congratulations Australia, you will lead the way down the road towards more false food . Do you feel proud? The European Network of Scientists for Social and Environmental Responsibility's statement on new GM techniques believes that they “should be strictly regulated as GMOs”:
<https://ensser.org/publications/ngmt-statement/> Yes I live in France so why should I care. Well because what Australia does now, the rest of the world does later! Why don't you at least pretend to lead the world – in a positive way. You at least could stand up and be proud. Yours sincerely, geoff faulkner

_____ This email was sent by geoff faulkner via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however geoff provided an email address (renault63@hotmail.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to geoff faulkner at renault63@hotmail.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Theresa Pretlow <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Tuesday, 27 March 2018 12:30 AM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

Please read the email tips above for some points you could include in your own comments.

These new techniques to modify genes in our food crops and animals are likely to produce some interesting new varieties of food but the safety of these products have yet to be tested. There is no evidence that only the target gene(s) have been modified. The techniques may have “turned on or off” other genes that result in harm to the recipient of the food or to the environment. New is not always better, or even safe unless it has been adequately tested in well defined, controlled experiments.

Please do not allow genetically modified (GM) food enter the world market. In addition, all food products must be labeled to assure the consumer that their food has not been genetically modied.

_____ This email was sent by Theresa Pretlow via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Theresa provided an email address (tpp3@case.edu) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Theresa Pretlow at tpp3@case.edu.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Miep Bos <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Tuesday, 27 March 2018 1:02 AM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

L.S.,

We, The European GMO-free Citizens do not want to buy and eat products that are GMOs and NGMTs, or contain GMOs and NGMTs. And because Australia has plans to not regulate and thus not label them, we will not buy any product that comes from Australia anymore when the plans go ahead.

Please read the following:

"Conclusion of ENSSER Statement 27 September 2017

<https://ensser.org/publications/ngmt-statement/>

In conclusion, from a strictly scientific and technical perspective, NGMTs are clearly genetic modification procedures that result in the production of GMOs. Such techniques give rise to predictable as well as inadvertently generated risks when used in a context of agriculture, conservation or ecological management. Therefore, the products of NGMTs in these contexts (viruses, microbes, plants and animals) should be at least as stringently regulated as the organisms produced with the transgenic methods used in currently commercialized GMOs. This would bring the regulation of NGMT applications in agricultural and other contexts into line with their recognition in the sphere of medical research, where they are unquestionably considered as genetic modification. It would also be in accordance with the EU Precautionary Principle. Contrary to the repeated claims of commercial interests threatened by it, the Precautionary Principle does not require an impossible proof of safety prior

to regulatory acceptance, but instead requires scientifically independent, searching and sustained examination of the questions of harm from such products, with the injunction to intervene even where scientific proof of harm is incomplete, if there are reasonable scientific grounds to suppose potential harm from the processes involved. First of all, this requires that the processes involved are themselves subject to regulatory appraisal and not only their products. Secondly, when the evidence shows, as cited above, that these processes do not control unintended and unpredicted - and potentially harmful - consequences, as their proponents claim they do, then the case for their thorough and scientifically independent risk appraisal is beyond argument.

The document was first signed by 60 scientists and experts."

Yours sincerely,

Mrs. M. Bos, spokeswoman of The European GMO-free Citizens, Lelystad, NL, EU.

This email was sent by Miep Bos via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Miep provided an email address (info@gentechvrij.nl) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Miep Bos at info@gentechvrij.nl.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit:
www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Jane McCloskey <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Tuesday, 27 March 2018 1:06 AM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

I strongly urge the FSANZ not to allow the new genetic technology without further research and then only with labeling. I have chemical sensitivities and food allergies. I am already allergic to some gmo corn, which gives me bloody stools. I don't want further contamination of our food supply. I buy a lot of Australian lamb, and will reconsider that choice if I hear that Australia is allowing this new genetic technology.

Yours sincerely, Jane McCloskey

_____ This email was sent by Jane McCloskey via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Jane provided an email address (janemccloskey1@myfairpoint.net) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Jane McCloskey at janemccloskey1@myfairpoint.net.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Sandra Harris <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Tuesday, 27 March 2018 1:20 AM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

Genetic modification techniques pose unique risks and all new genetic modification techniques should be assessed for safety before being allowed in our food. They should also be labelled so we are fully informed. This includes gene editing, GM rootstock grafting, cisgenesis, intragenesis RNA interference and null segregants.

CRISPR was only invented 5 years ago . Reviews commissioned by the Austrian and Norwegian governments concluded that not enough is known about the risks (e.g. off target effects) posed by new GM techniques such as CRISPR. They recommended that products derived from these techniques require comprehensive case-by-case risk assessments.

Gene editing techniques cause DNA double strand breaks and can be used sequentially to make dramatic differences to DNA. They are also prone to additional unexpected mutations. The risks associated with these techniques warrant pre-market safety assessment and approval.

RNA interference is a GM technique and can result in heritable genetic changes so must also be assessed for safety before being used in our food.

GM plants, animals and microbes all pose unique risks so assessing the GM production process is appropriate.

Yours sincerely, Sandra Harris

_____ This email was sent by Sandra Harris via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Sandra provided an email address (sandraharris.coach@gmail.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Sandra Harris at sandraharris.coach@gmail.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Tiffany Madrid <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Tuesday, 27 March 2018 1:28 AM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

Hello,

Food security, public health, and environmental health are global issues. By deregulating and/or removing testing requirements of genetically modified products you are placing the world at risk. You have a great responsibility; please do not put us all at risk by deregulating.

Sincerely, Tiffany Madrid

_____ This email was sent by Tiffany Madrid via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Tiffany provided an email address (tiffanyannmadrid@gmail.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Tiffany Madrid at tiffanyannmadrid@gmail.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Emily WALLIS <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Tuesday, 27 March 2018 1:31 AM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

- Any new and novel genetic tinkering or alteration of genes must be assessed for safety and efficacy before being permitted as a food for humans and animals. These new techniques such as intragenesis, RNA Interference, GE Rootstock Grafting, cisgenesis, segregant etc MUST BE labelled so that consumers can make a choice. This is a basic human right.
- I understand that CRISPR is a new technology and reviews commissioned by the Austrian and Norwegian governments concluded that not enough is known about the risks (e.g. off target effects) posed by new GM techniques such as CRISPR. They recommended that products derived from these techniques require comprehensive case-by-case risk assessments.
- Just like conventional genetic engineering of plants, gene editing may cause unpredictable damage to DNA on a grander scale therefore more studies are needed to determine absolute safety before unleashing on the human population for testing.
- RNA interference is a GM technique and can result in heritable genetic changes so must also be assessed for safety before being used in our food.

Yours sincerely, Emily WALLIS Wembley Downs, Western Australia, 6019, Australia

_____ This email was sent by Emily WALLIS via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Emily provided an email address (emilyw@upnaway.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Emily WALLIS at emilyw@upnaway.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Cherry Lavell <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Tuesday, 27 March 2018 1:34 AM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

I have been keeping up with GM developments for the past 30 years. The worry about the CRISPR technique is that it is very new indeed, only 5 years since its discovery, and far too little is known about its potential effects. What we do already know is that unexpected mutations can occur during the process and these represent risks that should not be taken until a whole lot more is known about their effects.. Once released into the environment there is no calling back of these modified entities. Does any government really want to be responsible for taking such risks?.The Austrian and Norwegian governments are already taking this precautionary line and they are to be applauded.

There should obviously be case-by-case assessment, well before market release, of any new organisms produced by these new gene-editing techniques. I sincerely hope you will not put human health, and the environment, at such risk.

Yours sincerely, Cherry Lavell

_____ This email was sent by Cherry Lavell via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Cherry provided an email address (cherrylavell@phonecoop.coop) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Cherry Lavell at cherrylavell@phonecoop.coop.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Antoon Burgt <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Tuesday, 27 March 2018 1:40 AM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

Genetic modification techniques pose unique risks and all new genetic modification techniques should be assessed for safety before being allowed in our food. They should also be labelled so we are fully informed. This includes gene editing, GM rootstock grafting, cisgenesis, intragenesis RNA interference and null segregants. Yours sincerely, Antoon van der Burgt

_____ This email was sent by Antoon Burgt via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Antoon provided an email address (avanderburgt108@gmail.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Antoon Burgt at avanderburgt108@gmail.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: James Buchanan <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Tuesday, 27 March 2018 1:42 AM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

I am writing to you today to express my horror at the pending release of unlabeled GM foods into the world markets. The Biotech industry has clearly paid their way through lobbying and other manipulative means to have this happen. Studies clearly indicate that people want to know what is in their food and given the choice, people don't want genetically modified food.

I strongly urge you to reconsider your plans to deregulate GM foods in what would prove to be a disaster for the environment as well as human health. There is no 'recall' possible for pollinating plants.

Yours sincerely, James Buchanan

_____ This email was sent by James Buchanan via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however James provided an email address (trekking@live.ca) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to James Buchanan at trekking@live.ca.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.