

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Francesca Naish <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Friday, 13 April 2018 4:19 PM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

•Genetic modification techniques pose unique risks and all new genetic modification techniques should be assessed for safety before being allowed in our food. They should also be labelled so we are fully informed. This includes gene editing, GM rootstock grafting, cisgenesis, intragenesis RNA interference and null segregants. •CRISPR was only invented 5 years ago . Reviews commissioned by the Austrian and Norwegian governments concluded that not enough is known about the risks (e.g. off target effects) posed by new GM techniques such as CRISPR. They recommended that products derived from these techniques require comprehensive case-by-case risk assessments. •Gene editing techniques cause DNA double strand breaks and can be used sequentially to make dramatic differences to DNA. They are also prone to additional unexpected mutations. The risks associated with these techniques warrant pre-market safety assessment and approval. •RNA interference is a GM technique and can result in heritable genetic changes so must also be assessed for safety before being used in our food. •GM plants, animals and microbes all pose unique risks so assessing the GM production process is appropriate .

Yours sincerely, Francesca Naish Randwick, New South Wales, 2031, Australia

_____ This email was sent by Francesca Naish via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Francesca provided an email address (naish@sydney.net) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Francesca Naish at naish@sydney.net.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Jennifer Saal <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Friday, 13 April 2018 4:21 PM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

To whom it may concern,

I object to the 'New Plant Breeding Techniques' proposed for Australian GM foods.

My family has been severely affected by a lifetime of pesticide exposure on our agriculture. Now we are faced with the fact that even Organic food contains residues of Glyphosate and it is in breast milk for goodness sake. GM foods have not had sufficient research done on all effects and the results of the studies that have been done are alarming. GM foods and Glyphosate will be the next tobacco debacle with class actions to come massive. This is without taking into account the effect on the genome that these 'new techniques' will likely have and of course will not be researched adequately prior to introduction.

A recent researcher presented these findings at a conference:

Over three decades, Stephanie Seneff, PhD, has researched biology and technology, over the years publishing over 170 scholarly peer-reviewed articles. In recent years she has concentrated on the relationship between nutrition and health, tackling such topics as Alzheimer's, autism, and cardiovascular diseases, as well as the impact of nutritional deficiencies and environmental toxins on human health.

At a [recent] conference, in a special panel discussion about GMOs, she took the audience by surprise when she declared, "At today's rate, by 2025, one in two children will be autistic." She noted that the side effects of autism closely mimic those of glyphosate toxicity, and presented data showing a remarkably consistent correlation between the use of Roundup on crops (and the creation of Roundup-ready GMO crop seeds) with rising rates of autism. Children with autism have biomarkers indicative of excessive glyphosate, including zinc and iron deficiency, low serum sulfate, seizures, and mitochondrial disorder.

Do you really want to be responsible for allowing another huge public health crisis by granting further GM Plant breeding techniques????

Yours sincerely, Jennifer Saal Sunbury, Victoria, 3429, Australia

_____ This email was sent by Jennifer Saal via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Jennifer provided an email address (jsaal@live.com.au) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Jennifer Saal at jsaal@live.com.au.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Lily Sexton <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Friday, 13 April 2018 4:23 PM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

To whom it may concern,

I object to the 'New Plant Breeding Techniques' proposed for Australian GM foods.

My family has been severely affected by a lifetime of pesticide exposure on our agriculture. Now we are faced with the fact that even Organic food contains residues of Glyphosate and it is in breast milk for goodness sake. GM foods have not had sufficient research done on all effects and the results of the studies that have been done are alarming. GM foods and Glyphosate will be the next tobacco debacle with class actions to come massive. This is without taking into account the effect on the genome that these 'new techniques' will likely have and of course will not be researched adequately prior to introduction.

A recent researcher presented these findings at a conference:

Over three decades, Stephanie Seneff, PhD, has researched biology and technology, over the years publishing over 170 scholarly peer-reviewed articles. In recent years she has concentrated on the relationship between nutrition and health, tackling such topics as Alzheimer's, autism, and cardiovascular diseases, as well as the impact of nutritional deficiencies and environmental toxins on human health.

At a [recent] conference, in a special panel discussion about GMOs, she took the audience by surprise when she declared, "At today's rate, by 2025, one in two children will be autistic." She noted that the side effects of autism closely mimic those of glyphosate toxicity, and presented data showing a remarkably consistent correlation between the use of Roundup on crops (and the creation of Roundup-ready GMO crop seeds) with rising rates of autism. Children with autism have biomarkers indicative of excessive glyphosate, including zinc and iron deficiency, low serum sulfate, seizures, and mitochondrial disorder.

Do you really want to be responsible for allowing another huge public health crisis by granting further GM Plant breeding techniques????

Yours sincerely, Lily Sexton Sunbury Vic. 3429

This email was sent by Lily Sexton via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Lily provided an email address (melody66@tpg.com.au) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Lily Sexton at melody66@tpg.com.au.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Rebecca Lloyd <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Friday, 13 April 2018 4:25 PM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

Food, health and biodiversity are inextricably connected. I want and feel it is my right to know about the food I and my family are consuming. GM labeling is vital to my decision making regarding our food choices. As gut and food is becoming increasingly connected to mental health I feel it crucial that people are able to choose and monitor their diets.

Give Australians choices and please test, please label new foods very thoroughly.

Yours sincerely, Rebecca Lloyd Fitzroy North, Victoria, 3068, Australia

_____ This email was sent by Rebecca Lloyd via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Rebecca provided an email address (rebeccalloydd@gmail.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Rebecca Lloyd at rebeccalloydd@gmail.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Lolita Gunning <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Friday, 13 April 2018 4:41 PM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

The public has a right to know that the food they are buying for themselves and their children, is safe. We question why, despite all the advances in science and technology, the children are getting progressively sicker than older generations. GM techniques have an unproven safety record.

Other Governments that have commissioned reviews, have concluded that not enough is known about the risks posed.

I ask that there be more transparency, independent evaluation and proper labelling to protect the public, especially our children.

Thank you.

Yours sincerely, Lolita Gunning Attwood, Victoria, 3049, Australia

_____ This email was sent by Lolita Gunning via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Lolita provided an email address (lolitagunning@hotmail.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Lolita Gunning at lolitagunning@hotmail.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Anita Bacic <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Friday, 13 April 2018 4:45 PM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

To whom it may concern,

I am concerned about GMO standards in Australia being undermined and watered-down.

Genetic modification techniques pose unique risks and all new genetic modification techniques should be assessed for safety before being allowed in our food. They should also be labelled so we are fully informed. This includes gene editing, GM rootstock grafting, cisgenesis, intragenesis RNA interference and null segregants.

CRISPR was only invented 5 years ago . Reviews commissioned by the Austrian and Norwegian governments concluded that not enough is known about the risks (e.g. off target effects) posed by new GM techniques such as CRISPR. They recommended that products derived from these techniques require comprehensive case-by-case risk assessments.

Gene editing techniques cause DNA double strand breaks and can be used sequentially to make dramatic differences to DNA. They are also prone to additional unexpected mutations. The risks associated with these techniques warrant pre-market safety assessment and approval.

I am concerned that GMO are a potential risk to our farmers, our land and our food crop. The health implications are also a worry. For the above reasons I wish to object to any changes to our GMO standards. If anything they should be strengthened and we should know what we are eating, not the opposite.

Yours sincerely, Anita Bacic Mount Stuart, Tasmania, 7000, Australia

_____ This email was sent by Anita Bacic via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Anita provided an email address (anita@popsiclemedia.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Anita Bacic at anita@popsiclemedia.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Jacqueline Chay <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Friday, 13 April 2018 4:52 PM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

Genetic modification of our food using New Breeding Techniques (NBT'S) or any genetic modification of food that I consume should be clearly labelled on the product/ produce to enable me to make choices in what I want in my body. We strive for better labelling of our food so we know what additives have been added and we can take better care of our health and the health of our families. Long term ingestion of certain substances may not be beneficial for some ie those who suffer from allergies and sensitivities. I consider any Genetic modification of food to have the potential to cause adverse reaction in some people. So I ask that my right to choose whether to consume any Genetic Modified food via NBT's or any Gene modifications to the food I eat be clearly stated on the label.

Yours sincerely, Jacqueline Chay

_____ This email was sent by Jacqueline Chay via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Jacqueline provided an email address (chayjackie@hotmail.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Jacqueline Chay at chayjackie@hotmail.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Rosemary Blemings <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Friday, 13 April 2018 4:56 PM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

There should be no genetically modified foods permitted in Australian food production and supplies until independently monitored and assessed processes have been established for sufficient time to generate logical results. There should be no meddling or intrusion by the Genetically Modified food industry of its lobbyists in the testing and approvals process. Yours sincerely, Rosemary Blemings

_____ This email was sent by Rosemary Blemings via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Rosemary provided an email address (rosemary@blemings.org) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Rosemary Blemings at rosemary@blemings.org.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Patrick Racz <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Friday, 13 April 2018 5:00 PM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

Hi, I will and can not except that the Government and the people allow GM food to be consumed. We have no idea of health risks this will cause to us and our children and ONLY for commercial reasons. Companies are NOT interested in our health or any side effects this will have, companies are only required to make money.

Please in the interest of people and NOT money assess the safety of the use of GM food.

Yours sincerely, Patrick Racz Melbourne, Victoria, 3000, Australien

_____ This email was sent by Patrick Racz via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Patrick provided an email address (racz_p@hotmail.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Patrick Racz at racz_p@hotmail.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Elizabeth Voss <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Friday, 13 April 2018 5:46 PM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

I am very concerned about taking such a laissez faire attitude toward GM techniques before epidemiological studies and science has caught up with how it affects the population health. Reviews commissioned by the Austrian and Norwegian governments conclude that not enough is known about the risks (e.g. off target effects) posed by new GM techniques such as CRISPR. They recommend that products derived from these techniques require comprehensive case-by-case risk assessments.

All GM techniques should be assessed for safety before being allowed in our food.

They should also be labelled so we are fully informed. This includes gene editing, GM rootstock grafting, cisgenesis, intragenesis RNA interference and null segregants.

It was too late when many animals and plants were allowed in the country that have created havoc to Australia. Do not give GM techniques a free pass.

GM plants, animals and microbes all pose unique risks so assessing the GM production process is appropriate.

Yours sincerely, Elizabeth Voss

_____ This email was sent by Elizabeth Voss via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Elizabeth provided an email address (elizabeth.jm.voss@gmail.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Elizabeth Voss at elizabeth.jm.voss@gmail.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Alena Turley <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Friday, 13 April 2018 5:51 PM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

How can it even be in question?

Here are some points you could include in your own comments:

Genetic modification techniques pose unique risks and all new genetic modification techniques should be assessed for safety before being allowed in our food. They should also be labelled so we are fully informed. This includes gene editing, GM rootstock grafting, cisgenesis, intragenesis RNA interference and null segregants. CRISPR was only invented 5 years ago . Reviews commissioned by the Austrian and Norwegian governments concluded that not enough is known about the risks (e.g. off target effects) posed by new GM techniques such as CRISPR. They recommended that products derived from these techniques require comprehensive case-by-case risk assessments. Gene editing techniques cause DNA double strand breaks and can be used sequentially to make dramatic differences to DNA. They are also prone to additional unexpected mutations. The risks associated with these techniques warrant pre-market safety assessment and approval. RNA interference is a GM technique and can result in heritable genetic changes so must also be assessed for safety before being used in our food. GM plants, animals and microbes all pose unique risks so assessing the GM production process is appropriate.

Leave nature alone – it works just fine!

Yours sincerely, Alena Turley Randwick, New South Wales, 2031, Australia

_____ This email was sent by Alena Turley via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Alena provided an email address (hello@alenaturley.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Alena Turley at hello@alenaturley.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Deena Ramadan <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Friday, 13 April 2018 7:29 PM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

Hi. All GM processes should be thoroughly tested and labelled. Not enough is known about the safety of many of these processes and the possible long term effects. Genetic modification techniques pose unique risks and all new genetic modification techniques should be assessed for safety before being allowed in our food. They should also be labelled so we are fully informed. This includes gene editing, GM rootstock grafting, cisgenesis, intragenesis RNA interference and null segregants. CRISPR was only invented 5 years ago . Reviews commissioned by the Austrian and Norwegian governments concluded that not enough is known about the risks (e.g. off target effects) posed by new GM techniques such as CRISPR. They recommended that products derived from these techniques require comprehensive case-by-case risk assessments. Gene editing techniques cause DNA double strand breaks and can be used sequentially to make dramatic differences to DNA. They are also prone to additional unexpected mutations. The risks associated with these techniques warrant pre-market safety assessment and approval. RNA interference is a GM technique and can result in heritable genetic changes so must also be assessed for safety before being used in our food. GM plants, animals and microbes all pose unique risks so assessing the GM production process is appropriate.

_____ This email was sent by Deena Ramadan via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Deena provided an email address (deena.ramadan@gmail.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Deena Ramadan at deena.ramadan@gmail.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Simon Venning <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Friday, 13 April 2018 7:56 PM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

Wake up Australia!

"In recent years large agrochemical companies such as Dow, Syngenta, Bayer and Monsanto and other players have been investing in a suite of risky new genetic modification (GM) techniques, which industry refers to collectively as 'New Plant Breeding Techniques'. Industry is arguing that these techniques are much more precise than older genetic engineering techniques – or even that they are not really genetic engineering at all – in order to attempt to circumvent regulation and public resistance to GMOs.

Now the GM giants are making a concerted push to have these emergent techniques escape GM laws in the United States, Europe and Australia. Industry is arguing that these techniques – which include oligo-directed mutagenesis (ODM) and site-directed nucleases (SDNs) such as zinc-finger nucleases (ZFN) and CRISPR – only result in small predictable changes to the genome and are therefore much more precise than earlier genetic engineering techniques. Interestingly, this is exactly the same argument they used when GM crops were originally introduced – and is equally untrue for these techniques.

Unfortunately, our regulators – the Office of the Gene Technology Regulator (OGTR) and Food Standards Australia New Zealand (FSANZ) – seem all too ready to allow products derived from these risky new techniques to go untested and unlabelled into our food chain."

I firmly disagree with any suggestion that these new techniques should be treated in any way differently to current laws protecting the community from unwittingly purchasing or consuming potentially harmful food stuffs.

Let people judge for themselves by providing the transparency needed to make good informed choices for themselves and their families.

The obvious question and – elephant in the room – is why wouldn't you?

Yours sincerely, Simon Venning Clovelly, New South Wales, 2031, Australia

This email was sent by Simon Venning via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Simon provided an email address (simon@emilia.com.au) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Simon Venning at simon@emilia.com.au.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Steven Barry <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Friday, 13 April 2018 8:09 PM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

If the biotechnology industry gets its way, Australia will be the first country in the world to deregulate a range of new genetic modification (GM) techniques in animals, plants and microbes. They will enter our food chain and our environment with no safety testing and no labeling. The risks are enormous and the results could be catastrophic.

Reviews commissioned by the Austrian and Norwegian governments concluded that not enough is known about the risks these new GM techniques pose. They recommended that products derived from them require comprehensive case-by-case risk assessments.

Genetic modification techniques pose unique risks and all new genetic modification techniques should be assessed for safety before being allowed in our food. They should also be labelled so we are fully informed. This includes gene editing, GM rootstock grafting, cisgenesis, intragenesis RNA interference and null segregants.

Yours sincerely, Steven Barry Saint Kilda East, Victoria, 3183, Australia

_____ This email was sent by Steven Barry via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Steven provided an email address (bazombie@outlook.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Steven Barry at bazombie@outlook.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Hidayet Ceylan <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Friday, 13 April 2018 9:25 PM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

Genetic modification techniques pose unique risks and all new genetic modification techniques should be assessed for safety before being allowed in our food. They should also be labelled so we are fully informed. This includes gene editing, GM rootstock grafting, cisgenesis, intragenesis RNA interference and null segregants.

Yours sincerely, Hidayet Ceylan

_____ This email was sent by Hidayet Ceylan via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Hidayet provided an email address (ceylan64@optusnet.com.au) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Hidayet Ceylan at ceylan64@optusnet.com.au.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Nina and Brian Earl <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Friday, 13 April 2018 9:44 PM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

We strongly disagree with the intent to deregulate any new genetic modification (GM) techniques in animals, plants and microbes. These modified genes would enter Australia's food chain and environment without proven safety and GM labeling on products.

We consider it too dangerous to play with nature in this way, because genes can mutate into an unwanted form and the outcome cannot be safely predicted. The risks to the health and normal functioning of people, animals, plants and microbes is too great.

Other European countries have chosen to play safe and not risk such new GM experiments. It is wise for Australia to abandon this deregulation.

Yours sincerely, Nina and Brian Earl

_____ This email was sent by Nina and Brian Earl via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Nina and Brian provided an email address (earl3744@gmail.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Nina and Brian Earl at earl3744@gmail.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Andrea Strack <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Friday, 13 April 2018 10:23 PM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

I would like to know exactly what is in my food and whether or not it has been tampered with or genetically modified. I have allergies and so this is very important to me. If I am eating vegetables I don't want them containing some genes from an animal or anything else.

Yours sincerely, Andrea Strack

_____ This email was sent by Andrea Strack via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Andrea provided an email address (gstrack@netspace.net.au) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Andrea Strack at gstrack@netspace.net.au.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Frederick Worboys <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Friday, 13 April 2018 10:31 PM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

To Whom it May Concern

1. Genetic modification techniques pose unique risks and all new genetic modification techniques should be assessed for safety before being allowed in our food. They should also be labelled so we are fully informed. This includes gene editing, GM rootstock grafting, cisgenesis, intragenesis RNA interference and null segregants.
2. CRISPR was only invented 5 years ago . Reviews commissioned by the Austrian and Norwegian governments concluded that not enough is known about the risks (e.g. off target effects) posed by new GM techniques such as CRISPR. They recommended that products derived from these techniques require comprehensive case-by-case risk assessments. And I completely concur with their conclusions.
3. Gene editing techniques cause DNA double strand breaks and can be used sequentially to make dramatic differences to DNA. They are also prone to additional unexpected mutations. The risks associated with these techniques warrant pre-market safety assessment and approval.
4. RNA interference is a GM technique and can result in heritable genetic changes so must also be assessed for safety before being used in our food.
5. GM plants, animals and microbes all pose unique risks so assessing the GM production process is appropriate; and will provide assurance over time regarding impacts and implications that currently remain unknown.

Yours sincerely, Frederick Worboys

_____ This email was sent by Frederick Worboys via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Frederick provided an email address (fhworboys@gmail.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Frederick Worboys at fhworboys@gmail.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Matt Cole <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Friday, 13 April 2018 10:39 PM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

Hi, Genetic modification techniques pose unique risks and all new genetic modification techniques should be assessed for safety before being allowed in our food. They should also be labelled so we are fully informed. This includes gene editing, GM rootstock grafting, cisgenesis, intragenesis RNA interference and null segregants. CRISPR was only invented 5 years ago . Reviews commissioned by the Austrian and Norwegian governments concluded that not enough is known about the risks (e.g. off target effects) posed by new GM techniques such as CRISPR. They recommended that products derived from these techniques require comprehensive case-by-case risk assessments. Gene editing techniques cause DNA double strand breaks and can be used sequentially to make dramatic differences to DNA. They are also prone to additional unexpected mutations. The risks associated with these techniques warrant pre-market safety assessment and approval. RNA interference is a GM technique and can result in heritable genetic changes so must also be assessed for safety before being used in our food. GM plants, animals and microbes all pose unique risks so assessing the GM production process is appropriate

Yours sincerely, Matt Cole Bullsbrook, Western Australia, 6084, Australia

This email was sent by Matt Cole via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Matt provided an email address (mcole@iinet.net.au) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Matt Cole at mcole@iinet.net.au.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Jilly Robinson <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Friday, 13 April 2018 11:58 PM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

Please read the email tips above for some points you could include in your own comments.

Considering there is much research needed before GM foods are proven to be safe for human consumption, I ASK THAT YOU DO NOT DE-REGULATE these new techniques before further GM testing is satisfactorily completed.

Yours sincerely, Jilly Robinson

_____ This email was sent by Jilly Robinson via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Jilly provided an email address (jillybeans@ozemail.com.au) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Jilly Robinson at jillybeans@ozemail.com.au.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.